| 英 1 | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|----------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/660,167 | DAY ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Michael D. Pham | 2167 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | |
| (1) <u>Michael D. Pham</u> . | (3) Scott A. Stinebruner (Reg. No. 38323). | |
| (2) | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 24 August 2006 | Time: 2pm Eastern Time | |
| Type of Interview: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: Independent Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | , |
| | | |
| 10.0 | | |
| on Markey Bluen | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant | VApplicant's Representative S | ignature - if appropriate) |



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed Amendments to claim 1 and 15 by incorporating the limitations of claim 5 in order to clarify the claim. However, after further the interview and after reconsideration of the prior art of record it does not appear that the claims are currently distinguishable enough over the prior art of record for an allowance. No examiner's amendment will be made at this time